

## UNITED STATES DEF TMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ſ	APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
_	09/119.	625	07/2	1/98	GOTOH		Ħ	0083-0865-2	

09/119,625 07/21/98 GOTOH

**EXAMINER** 

022850 MM42/1230 OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NUESTADT FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON VA 22202

CUNEO, K **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER D 2831

DATE MAILED:

12/30/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



Application N .	Applicant(s)	,	
9/119 626			
Examiner		Group Art Unit	
Curio	)	2831	

Office Action Summary	9/119626	<u> </u>					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		oup Art Unit				
	Curio		283				
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the corresp	oondence address—				
Peri d for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE ONE	MONTH(S) FRO	OM THE MAILING DATE	=			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, experience to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute</li> </ul>	within the statutory minim price SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) days we the mailing date of thi	vill be considered timely.				
Status <sup>-</sup>							
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•				
☐ This action is FINAL.							
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
⚠ Claim(s) 1-6		is/are pendir	ng in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdr	awn from consideration	۱.			
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowe	ed.				
☐ Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are rejected.					
□ Claim(s)		is/are object	ed to.				
□NClaim(s)							
Application Papers		requirement	•				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	Review, PTO-948.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	·						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
*Certified copies not received:							
Attachment(s)							
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(	s) □ Ir	nterview Summary, I	PTO-413				
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		□ Other					

Office Acti n Summary

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Election of Species**

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- a1. the conductive pattern all the way removed as in figure 1 with the shape of a rectangle as shown in figure 1,
- a2. the conductive pattern all the way removed as in figure 1 with the shape shown in figure 6,
- a3. the conductive pattern all the way removed as in figure 1 with the shape shown in figure 7,
- a4. the conductive pattern all the way removed as in figure 1 with the shape shown in figure 8,
- a5. the conductive pattern all the way removed as in figure 1 with the shape shown in figure 9,
- a6. the conductive pattern all the way removed as in figure 1 with the shape shown in figure 10,
- a7. the conductive pattern all the way removed as in figure 1 with the shape of a wedge (page 18, line 2),
- a8. the conductive pattern all the way removed as in figure 1 with a zigzag shape (page 18, line 2),
- b1. the conductive pattern thinned as in figure 3 with the shape of a rectangle as shown in figure 1,
- b2. the conductive pattern thinned as in figure 3 with the shape shown in figure 6,

Serial Number: 09/119626

b3. the conductive pattern thinned as in figure 3 with the shape shown in figure 7,

b4. the conductive pattern thinned as in figure 3 with the shape shown in figure 8,

b5. the conductive pattern thinned as in figure 3 with the shape shown in figure 9,

b6. the conductive pattern thinned as in figure 3 with the shape shown in figure 10.

b7. the conductive pattern partially removed as in figure 3 with the shape of a wedge (page 18, line 2),

b8. the conductive pattern partially removed as in figure 3 with a zigzag shape (page 18, line 2).

Even though the embodiments are defined as figures 1, 3 and 5, the examiner believes that categorization of the inventions from the stand point of patentability is properly done by species a1-b8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

2. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are

Serial Number: 09/119626

added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 3. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Pous (29099) on 12/28/99 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Serial Number: 09/119626

## Closing

Any inquiries related to the examination of this application should be directed to Examiner Kamand Cuneo at (703)308-1233 or her supervisor, Examiner Kristine Kincaid, at (703)308-0640. Inquiries of a general nature should be directed to the group 2800 receptionist at (703)308-0956. The general fax number to group 2800 is (703)308-7722 or 7724.

kc kc

December 7, 1999

Bustine Kincaid